

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/840,146 05/06/2004		Helmut Horst Tews	2004 P 51343 US 7404		
25962 SIATED & M	7590 04/17/2007	EXAMINER			
SLATER & MATSIL, L.L.P. 17950 PRESTON RD, SUITE 1000			RAO, SHRINIVAS H		
DALLAS, TX	75252-5793		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2814	2814	-	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/840,146	TEWS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Steven H. Rao	2814		

	Steven H. Rao	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notic wing replies: (1) an amendmen dice of Appeai (with appeal fee	e of Appeal. To avoid aba t, affidavit, or other evider) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set ater than SIX MONTHS from the m (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	nailing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding am shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailir	ount of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see w); tter form for appeal by material	NOTE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	21. See attached Notice of	·	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		will be entered and an o	explanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a y and was not earlier presente	appeal and/or appellant fa d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the applicati	ion in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	HOWA PRIMARY	RD WEISS EXAMINER

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants' two pending independent claims 5 and 6 are device claims (" A single crystal semiconductor structure comprising) both of which contain product by process limitations e.g. the recitation, "are subjected to a thermal oxidation process such that the thickness of said second layer of silicon dioxide on said second sidewall portions is substantially equal to the thickness of both said first and second layers of silicon dioxide on said first side portions." which is/are taken to be a product by process recitation for which patentable weight cannot be given in the presently recited device claims. It is noted that Applicants' want the Examiner to consider (Applicants' remarks section on pages 11-13) only the structural portions of their claims. This shows that the Applicants' admit their claims as presented do not comply with proper device claim format. Secondly it is the Applicants' responsibility to present claims in proper format and if Applicants' want to present method steps in a device claim they should be presented in acceptable format as required by the MPEP e.g., 706, 713.04, etc., 37 CFR 1.104, 1.105, etc. and 35 USC Sections 112, 102, 103, etc. All dependent claims depend directly /indirectly from these claims and rejected at least for the reasons set herein.

2